



CANADIAN INTERNATIONAL INTERNET DISPUTE RESOLUTION CENTRE
DOMAIN NAME DISPUTE
ADMINISTRATIVE PANEL
DECISION

CIIDRC case number:	25204-UDRP	Decision date: July 21, 2025
Domain Name:	centerragoldinc.com	
Panel:	Barry Effler	
Complainant:	Centerra Gold Inc.	
Respondent:	Isaac Ngumi	

1. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The procedural history of this case was set out in a letter from the Canadian International Internet Dispute Resolution Centre to the Panel:

“The particulars of the case are as follows:

1. On June 3, 2025, the Complainant filed a Complaint pursuant to the UDRP and the UDRP Rules via online platform.
2. On June 3, 2025, CIIDRC transmitted by email to the Registrar a request for registrar verification in connection with the disputed domain name, and on June 4, 2025, the Registrar responded advising of the identity of the Respondent and providing the above contact details. In addition, the Registrar confirmed that the disputed domain name was placed in a Registrar LOCK.
3. The Complainant was informed of the Respondent’s information as provided by the Registrar and subsequently submitted the amended Complaint on June 9, 2025.
4. On June 11, 2025, CIIDRC confirmed compliance of the Complaint and commencement of the dispute resolution process.

5. On June 11, 2025, pursuant to UDRP Rule 4 and Supplemental Rule 5, CIIDRC notified the Respondent of this administrative proceeding and forwarded a Notice of Complaint to the Respondent.

6. The deadline for submitting a Response was set for July 1, 2025.

7. The Respondent has failed to file its response.

8. The Complainant in this administrative proceeding has elected for a Panel consisting of a single member.”

This matter is conducted pursuant to the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the Policy) and the Rules for Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the Rules) of the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN).

2. DISCUSSION AND FINDINGS

The Complaint complied with the Policy as it used the correct form, completed the required information boxes and was signed. However, it is clear that the Complainant does not understand this dispute resolution process.

In accordance with Paragraph 4 of the Policy, the onus is on the Complainant to prove that:

1. the Domain Name is Identical or Confusingly Similar to a trademark or service mark in which the Complainant has rights;
2. the Respondent has no rights or legitimate interests in respect of the Domain Name; and
3. the Domain Name has been registered and is being used in bad faith.

No evidence was offered. The elements required to be addressed by a successful complaint have not been addressed. Further, the remedy sought was Transfer but the Remedy Reason given was “we request the domain centerragoldinc.com to be cancelled so it cannot be used in the future” (quote from the Complaint). This is a conflicting request.

I note the Complainant asks:

“Given these risks, we respectfully request that you investigate and consider suspending or taking down the domain in accordance with your abuse and impersonation policies.

We are happy to provide any supporting documentation required, including proof of company registration and trademarks.”

Generally, when a complaint is denied, it is with prejudice and may not be refiled unless there are material changes in circumstances. In this case I note the Complaint was not filed by a lawyer. Another factor I considered was if there appears to be a reasonable likelihood of the Complainant being able to make a

successful complaint in the future. This is hard to ascertain as the Complaint fails to provide virtually any information. Overall, I am prepared to dismiss this Complaint and let the Complainant seek legal advice about whether to submit a new complaint.

4 DECISION and ORDER

For the above reasons, in accordance with Paragraph 4 of the Policy, Paragraph 15 of the Rules, and Rule 10 of the Supplemental Rules, the Panel orders that the Complaint is denied, without prejudice to be submitted again.

Made as of the 21st day of July, 2025.

SIGNATURE OF PANEL

Signature redacted

Barry C. Effler, LL.B., LL.M., C. Arb. (Fellow)

Sole Panelist

